

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,215	GROEGOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowance

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) Mr. John Musone (Reg. No. 44,961).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kathy Matecki

**KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

Thomas A. Morrison

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Musone (applicant's representative) to get permission to amend claim 16 to provide proper antecedent basis in this dependent claim, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Musone approved such changes to claim 16.